## Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

Original Updated	Corrected	Supplemental			
LRB Number 03-3353/1	Introduction Number Al	B-652			
Subject Prohibit law enforcement and prosecutors from test	requiring a sexual assault victim to subm	it to a polygraph			
Fiscal Effect					
Appropriations Revo	rease Existing venues crease Existing venues  The property of	agency's budget			
Local:  ☐ No Local Government Costs ☐ Indeterminate  ☐ 1. ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Districts ☐ Districts ☐ Districts					
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS					
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date			
DOC/ Sue Loniello (608) 240-5524	Robert Margolies (608) 240-5056 11/17/20				

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOC 11/17/2003

LRB Number	03-3353/1	Introduction Number	AB-652	Estimate Type	Original	
Subject						
Prohibit law enforcement and prosecutors from requiring a sexual assault victim to submit to a polygraph						
test						

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

Under current law there are several limitations on the use of lie detector tests, including polygraph tests and other types of honesty tests.

This bill would prohibit law enforcement and district attorneys from requiring, requesting or suggesting a lie detector test to a person who alleges to have been a victim of sexual assault even if the victim gives written or verbal consent. The bill also prohibits law enforcement and district attorneys from providing lie detector information unless the victim requests it.

This would have no fiscal impact on the Department of Corrections. If lie detector tests are currently administered to victims and they only occur because of law enforcement or district attorneys influencing a victim's decision on participation in one of these tests, this bill may result in less lie detector tests being administered to victims. It is not possible to determine how often this would occur or what the local fiscal impact would be.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications**